

## CONSULTATION RESPONSE TO PLANNING OR RELATED APPLICATION

Comments provided by	<b>Roads Planning Service</b>				
Officer Name, Post and Contact Details	Mark Payne Roads Planning Officer	mark.payne@scotborders.gov.uk 01835 825018			
Date of reply	7 <sup>th</sup> August 2023	Consultee reference:			
Planning Application Reference	23/01065/FUL Case Officer:				
Applicant	Mr Mark Graham				
Agent	Ferguson Planning				
Proposed Development	Erection of dwellinghouse				
Site Location	Land Adjacent Carnlea Main Street Heiton Scottish Borders				
as they relate to the	area of expertise of that consultee. ration of all relevant information, co	the consultee on the submitted application A decision on the application can only be onsultations and material considerations. Ins at this site which Roads Planning have			
Site description	objected to on road safety concerns.				
Key Issues (Bullet points)	<ul><li>Access</li><li>Visibility</li></ul>				
Assessment	<ul> <li>There are two changes since the previous application which relate to Roads Planning:</li> <li>1) The proposed Local Development Plan allowing a maximum of 5 dwelling units to be served from a private access road.</li> <li>2) A proposed build out from the private access on to the A698 to create better visibility.</li> <li>Regarding point 1 above, it is my view that although the private access road would not have to conform to an adoptable standard, the access from the private road is still unsuitable for this level of development.</li> <li>Regarding point 2, I would not support any scheme to narrow the carriageway along the A698 as this is incongruous with the road through Heiton and any scheme in isolation may have a detrimental effect on road safety.</li> <li>Although I appreciate that the applicant has proposed a turning head to alleviate some problems at the site, there remains the issue of the junction with the public road. It is exceptionally constrained in terms of geometry and visibility and is only wide enough for one vehicle. Visibility in both directions is effectively zero, with a vehicle having to encroach significantly into the running carriageway before any visibility is afforded. Furthermore, since the land surrounding the access is outwith the applicant's control, there is no scope for suitable improvements.</li> </ul>				

Recommendation	Object	Do not object	Do not object, subject to conditions	Further information required
Reason of Objection	The proposal does not comply with policy PMD2 of the Local Development Plan 2016 in that it would be result in extra vehicular traffic on a sub-standard access to the detriment of road safety.			

Signed: DJI